DISCLAIMER

All data collected in the Acoustic Neuroma Association's 2012 survey is intended for use by the Acoustic Neuroma Association on behalf of its members. Best efforts have been made to ensure participant confidentiality and personal information collected was used for authentication purposes only.

Survey results are intended to provide helpful information. Survey results are not a substitute for professional medical advice, care, diagnosis or treatment and are not designed to promote any medical practice, program or agenda or any medical tests, products, treatments or procedures.

Furthermore, survey results are SELF-REPORTED and MAY NOT BE ACCURATE and do not contain all information that may be relevant to acoustic neuroma patients.

Under no circumstances, should you disregard any professional medical advice or delay in seeking such advice in reliance on any information provided by this survey. Your reliance on any information provided by this survey is solely at your own risk.

ALL DATA COLLECTED WAS SELF-REPORTED BY RESPONDENTS AND HAVE <u>NOT</u> BEEN VERIFIED BY THE ACOUSTIC NEUROMA ASSOCIATION. THE ACOUSTIC NEUROMA ASSOCIATION MAKES NO REPRESENTATION AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE DATA COLLECTED OR REPORTED HEREIN.

SURVEY RESULTS ARE BEING PROVIDED "AS IS," WITHOUT ANY IMPLIED OR EXPRESSED WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, two online surveys were designed to collect data from new patients and from individuals who responded to the 2007–2008 survey. Emails requesting participation in a revised Initial Contact survey were sent in November 2012 to 2,731 patients who visited the ANA website since the 2007–2008 survey closed.

Emails were sent to an additional 1,272 people who responded to the 2007–2008 survey and who had valid email addresses. Reminder emails were sent four times until mid-January 2013. Responses to the Initial Contact survey were received from 1,150 individuals; 1,015 were usable.

Responses to the Follow-Up survey were received from 399 individuals; 371 were usable; however, an updated report of these individuals could be made for only 323 because 48 records from 2012 could not be matched to email addresses reported in the 2007–2008 database.

Please note: Percentages throughout the Executive Summary may not total 100% due to rounding.

The following tables summarize some of the information contained in the full report. The tumor size reported by respondents at the time of their diagnosis has changed since 1983. Almost half (43%) of the respondents in 2012 reported tumors 1.5 cm or less, a 13% increase from 2007–2008 and a 153% increase from 1983.

	Percent of respondents				
Size	2012	2007–2008	1998	1983	
1.5 cm or less	43	38	23	17	
1.6–2.5 cm	25	27	36	42	
Larger than 2.5 cm	20	27	35	28	
Did not know size	12	8	6	15	

Symptoms

The primary acoustic neuroma symptoms from all four surveys remain similar with more than half of the respondents reporting issues with single-sided hearing loss and tinnitus and balance issues. In the 2012 survey, single-sided hearing loss was reported by 88% of respondents, tinnitus by 74% and balance issues (vertigo/dizziness) by 63%. These symptoms are followed by fullness in the ear, reported by 45% of respondents, facial weakness or paralysis by 33%, headaches by 28%, fatigue by 25%, facial numbness by 23%, eye problems by 21% and memory difficulties by 20%.

Respondents to the 2012 survey were asked to report which symptoms they experienced because of their tumor. They were then asked follow-up questions about their experience with those symptoms at initial tumor diagnosis and at the time they completed the survey¹.

The following table contains the percentages of respondents experiencing symptoms related to their tumor in each of the four surveys conducted by the ANA.

	Percentage of respondents			
Symptoms	2012	2007–2008	1998	1983
Single-sided hearing loss or deafness	88	88	88	86
Tinnitus	74	73	64	57
Vertigo or balance disturbance	63	59	64	61
Facial weakness or paralysis	33	28	14	NA
Headaches	28	33	33	37
Eye problems	21	31	16	NA
Change in smell or taste	15	20	10	NA
Facial twitching	15	16	13	NA
Facial numbness	23	23	22	NA
Fullness in ear	45	38	43	NA
Difficulty swallowing	9	11	7	NA
Difficulty concentrating	16	17	NA	NA
Fatigue	25	33	NA	NA
Depression	14	19	NA	NA
Memory difficulties	20	24	NA	NA
No symptoms	11	1	NA	NA

¹ Respondents who had received treatment prior to the time they completed the survey reported information regarding their symptoms at the time of initial diagnosis (or pre-treatment) versus the time at which they completed the survey (or post-treatment). Respondents who were still watching and waiting at the time they completed the survey reported information regarding their symptoms at the time of initial diagnosis and at the time they completed the survey, with no treatment in the interim.

Treatment

The 2012 Initial Contact survey asked participants to indicate the number and type of treatments they had received for their tumor. A number of respondents from the Follow-Up survey reported receiving additional treatments since 2008. Their responses are in the table below and are compared to those from the previous surveys conducted by the ANA. The percentage of respondents reporting microsurgery² as their treatment has fallen from 61% in 2007–2008 to 50% in the 2012 survey. The percentage of respondents reporting radiosurgery/radiotherapy as their treatment has increased from 20% in 2007–2008 to 24% in 2012. The percentage of watch and wait patients has increased from 20% in 2007–2008 to 26% in 2012.

	Percentage of respondents			
Treatment **	2012*	2007-2008	1998	1983
Translabyrinthine approach	25	33	51	72
Retrosigmoid/sub-occipital approach	15	17	28	11
Middle fossa approach	7	10	6	3
Don't know which surgical approach	2	0	0	14
Total microsurgical resection	50	61	85	100
Stereotactic radiosurgery, such as Gamma Knife (SSR)	15	12	NA	NA
Fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery (FSR)	9	8	NA	NA
Total radiosurgery/radiotherapy	24	20	5	0
Watch & wait	26	20	4	0
Total	100	100	100	100

^{*}Percentages in 2012 are based on 1,174 treatments reported by 1,116 individuals who completed the Initial Contact survey or Follow-Up survey

^{**}Another 278 individuals completed the Follow-Up survey and reported they have had no further treatment since 2008

² The use of the terms *surgery* and *microsurgery* in each survey can be attributed to the fact that in 1983, although the operating microscope was in use for procedures of this type by 1970, there was often no verbal distinction made between surgery and microsurgery. By 1998, the operating microscope was used in virtually all operations for acoustic neuroma, hence the description *microsurgery*.

Surgery

The translabyrinthine surgical approach remains the most frequently reported form of microsurgical resection with 25% of all survey respondents reporting this approach in 2012. The percentage of respondents reporting the translabyrinthine approach as treatment has fallen from 33% in 2007–2008 to 25% in 2012. Notably, 62% of the respondents in 2012 who reported having had surgery indicated they had no complications following surgery. The most common complication following surgery was cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, reported by 14% of respondents who had surgery, similar to the 2007-2008 reported result of 17% (see page 16 of the 2012 survey results for details regarding complications reported by respondents indicating they had undergone microsurgery).

Tumor re-growth following initial microsurgery was reported by 8% in the 2012 survey. Of those respondents, 35% reported that the re-growth occurred more than 4 years after surgery. For detailed information reported by respondents who indicated they had undergone microsurgery, see the *microsurgery* section (pages 14–27).

Radiosurgery/Radiotherapy

The percentage of respondents who reported they had been treated by some form of radiosurgery/radiotherapy increased from 20% in the 2007–2008 survey to 24% in the 2012 survey. In the 2012 survey, 61% of those having radiation treatment reported having single-stereotactic radiosurgery (SSR) and 39% reported having fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery (FSR). For detailed information reported by respondents indicating they had undergone SSR, see the *SSR* section (page 28). For information reported by respondents indicating they had undergone FSR, see the *FSR* section (page 44).

Observation

The percentage of acoustic neuroma patients who reported choosing to observe their tumor—to watch and wait rather than seeking treatment—increased from 20% in the 2007–2008 survey to 26% in the 2012 survey. Twenty-six percent of the respondents indicated they have been in the watch and wait mode for 1 year or less. Another one-quarter of the respondents has been in the watch and wait mode between 1 and 3 years; 21% between 5 and 10 years, and 9% between 10 and 20 years. For results from the watch and wait respondents, see the *Watch and Wait* section (page 58).

Post-Treatment Rehabilitation Therapies

In the 2012 survey, 33% of the respondents indicated they received treatment or surgery to correct facial weakness. Fewer than 25% reported receiving treatment for balance (22%), 12% for dizziness and 11% for facial movement. Details on post-treatment rehabilitation therapies can be found in each section; *microsurgery* (page 25), *SSR* (page 40), and *FSR* (page 54). Information about rehabilitation therapies for respondents who have decided to watch and wait is in the *Watch and Wait* section (page 67).

Quality of Life

The 2012 Initial Contact survey and Follow-Up survey contained new questions related to the respondents' employment, use of handicapped parking permits and their perceptions of their symptoms and quality of life since their diagnosis. Almost all the respondents (88%) indicated they were able to continue regular employment and/or activities after their diagnosis and 72% indicated they were still employed in the same capacity or perform the same activities today. Of those who are not, 71% indicated they had retired.

Almost all (89%) of the respondents reported that they did not use a handicapped parking permit after their surgery or treatment. A large percentage of those individuals (70%) did not feel the need to use a parking permit.

Thirty-six percent of the respondents reported their symptoms are significantly or moderately better now than at diagnosis. Fifty-three percent reported their symptoms are significantly or moderately better now than just after treatment. In regards to their quality of life, 27% consider it significantly or moderately better now than at their diagnosis. Fifty-two percent consider their quality of life significantly or moderately better now than just after treatment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose	1
Method	1
Information about All Participants and Their AN Tumor	3
Symptoms Reported	6
Single-Sided Hearing Loss	7
Facial Weakness	9
Post-Treatment	11
Quality of Life	12
Structure of the Report	13
MICROSURGERY	14
Information About Microsurgery Patients and Their AN Tumor	14
Recovery	16
Symptoms Reported	17
Single-Sided Hearing Loss	21
Facial Weakness	23
Post-Treatment	25
Quality of Life	26
SINGLE DOSE STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY (SSR)	28
Information About SSR Patients and Their AN Tumor	28
Description of Radiation Treatment(s)	30
Recovery	
Symptoms Reported	
Single-Sided Hearing Loss	36
Facial Weakness	38
Post-Treatment	40
Quality of Life	42
FRACTIONATED STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY (FSR)	44
Information About FSR Patients and Their AN Tumor	44
Description of FSR Treatment(s)	45
Recovery	46
Symptoms Reported	47
Single-Sided Hearing Loss	51
Facial Weakness	52
Post-Treatment	54
Quality of Life	56
WATCH AND WAIT/OBSERVATION	58
Information About Watch and Wait Patients and Their AN Tumor	58
Symptoms Reported	60
Single-Sided Hearing Loss	65
Facial Weakness	66

Quality of Life	67
FOLLOW-UP SURVEY	68
Changes Since 2007–2008.	
Symptoms since 2007–2008	

INTRODUCTION

The ultimate goal of the ANA is to provide an interactive database for members to query at the ANA website. The two surveys used in the 2012 survey process were developed with this goal in mind. The report that follows provides basic information about AN patients and preliminary data about those patients who agreed to provide follow-up data about their AN experiences. All information collected by the online surveys will be available in the future at the ANA website.

Purpose

In keeping with the mission of ANA, the 2012 survey was conducted to provide information regarding the symptoms, diagnosis, treatment and post-treatment issues experienced by AN patients. Although this information is self-reported and therefore could not be verified for accuracy, it is meant to provide a basic set of data for newly diagnosed, pre-, and post-treatment AN patients who share a condition for which such data is lacking.

THE INFORMATION FROM ALL ANA SUREYES WAS SELF-REPORTED. NO ATTEMPT WAS MADE TO CONFIRM OR VERIFY THE ACCURACY OF REPORTED DATA. THE RESULTS ARE A COMPILATION OF THIS SELF-REPORTED DATA ONLY. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED TO PROVIDE CONCLUSIVE INFORMATIN REGARDING CAUSALITY. READERS SHOULD NOT DISREGARD, UNDER ANY CIRUMCSTANCES, ANY PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL ADVICE OR DELAY IN SEEKING SUCH ADVICE.

Method

Emails were sent in November 2012 to 2,731 patients requesting their participation in the Initial Contact survey. Emails were sent to an additional 1,272 people who responded to the 2007–2008 survey and who had valid email addresses. Reminder emails were sent four times until mid-January 2013. Responses to the Initial Contact survey were received from 1,150 individuals; 1,015 were usable. Responses to the Follow-Up survey were received from 399 individuals; 371 were usable. Responses from these 371 individuals could be used when reporting aggregated data for all respondents in 2012. However, an updated report of these individuals could be made for only 323 because 48 records from 2012 could not be matched to email addresses reported in the 2007–2008 database.

A survey was considered complete if the AN patient worked through the survey and exited at the end of the questionnaire. However, not all questions were answered by all participants. Therefore, slight differences in frequency reported in different tables can be attributed to respondents answering some parts of the question, but not others. Queries of the database were made using the same criteria throughout each section; however, not all respondents replied to all questions.

The online survey was adaptive, meaning it queried respondents about only those symptoms and treatments they indicated they had experienced. This was an attempt to minimize questions not applicable to a respondent's situation. This was a self-assessment survey rather than a clinical

one. Not all surveys were completed and those not completed are not in the results presented here.

Please note: Percentages throughout the report may not total 100% due to rounding.

Information about All Participants and Their AN Tumor

The following tables present basic information about 1,394 individuals who responded to both the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey.

Characteristic	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Gender		
Male	509	37
Female	821	59
No response	64	5
Ethnicity		
Caucasian	1245	89
African/American-American/W. Indian (Black)	17	1
Asian/Pacific Islander	33	2
Hispanic/Latino	27	2
Native American	1	< 1
Other	1	< 1
No response	70	5
Age when tumor was diagnosed		
Less than 12 years old	0	0
12-20 years old	6	0
21 - 30 years old	40	3
31 - 40 years old	178	13
41 - 50 years old	373	27
51 - 60 years old	452	32
61 – 70 years old	249	18
71 – 80 years old	39	3
81 or older	2	0
No response	55	4

Tumor data	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tumor side		
Right	666	48
Left	682	49
Bilateral (Both sides)	6	< 1
Meningioma	3	< 1
No response	37	3
Size of tumor at diagnosis		
0.1 - 0.4 cm	98	7
0.5 - 1.0 cm	236	17
1.1 - 1.5 cm	274	20
1.6 - 2.0 cm	176	13
2.1 - 2.5 cm	172	12
2.6 - 3.0 cm	93	7
3.1 - 3.5 cm	79	6
3.6 - 4.0 cm	37	3
Larger than 4 cm	75	5
Don't know	82	6
No Response	72	5
Diagnostic tests used to diagnose tumor (multiple response	ases possible)	
CT scan (Computerized Tomography)	221	16
MRI scan (Magnetic Resonance Image)	1285	92
Brainstem Auditory Evoked Response (BAER, BSER or ABR)	165	12
Hearing Test (Audiogram)	954	68
Balance Test (Electronystagmogram – ENG)	261	19
Don't Know	6	<1

The following table illustrates the number of distinct treatments the 1,394 respondents have undergone related to their AN.

Number of treatments received	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Have not received any treatment (watching & waiting)	306	22
Have received 1 treatment to date	722	52
Have received 2 treatments to date	69	5
Have received 3 or more treatments to date	8	1
Have not received any further treatments since 2008	278	20
No answer	11	1
Total	1394	100

The next table presents the *number of different* treatments received.

Treatment modality	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Microsurgical resection (surgery/craniotomy)	587	68
Stereotactic radiosurgery (SSR) single session radiation treatment, such as Gamma Knife	172	20
Fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery/radiotherapy (FSR) radiation treatment performed in multiple sessions or fractions	109	13
Total	868	100

Symptoms Reported

Discussion of *symptoms* throughout this report refers to symptoms respondents reported related to their tumor. Some literature places a distinction on symptoms that relate to the existence of an AN tumor and distinguishes those from symptoms that result from some type of intervention or treatment. For example, medical literature indicates that post-surgery headaches may sometimes be associated with sub-occipital (also known as retrosigmoid) surgery, as this approach may leave skull fragments due to intra-dural drilling. This is an example of a symptom related to treatment and not necessarily just to the existence of a tumor.

References to *symptoms* throughout this report make no such distinction. All symptoms reported are those experienced by respondents regardless of their treatment status. The reader can delve into each symptom reported on a pre- and post-treatment basis to determine if the symptom appears to be associated with the existence of the tumor or if it appears to be the result of treatment. The following table illustrates the number of individuals responding to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey who experienced each symptom. The respondents could choose multiple symptoms and the percentages listed are of the 1,394 respondents who completed either the Initial Contact survey or the Follow-Up survey. More than half the respondents indicated they experienced single-sided hearing loss or deafness, tinnitus and vertigo.

Symptoms related to tumor	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Single-sided hearing loss or deafness	1224	88
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	1029	74
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	885	63
Fullness in ear	626	45
Headaches	390	28
Fatigue	344	25
Eye problems	286	21
Facial weakness or paralysis	458	33
Memory difficulties	275	20
Facial numbness	316	23
Change in smell or taste	212	15
Depression	202	14
Difficulty concentrating	226	15
Facial twitching	216	15
Difficulty swallowing	124	9
No symptoms	151	11

The data above represents symptoms reported by respondents without regard to their treatment status. Information about what symptoms were experienced pre-treatment versus post-treatment will be discussed in later sections of this report.

The percentage of respondents reporting some of the more common symptoms related to their AN from 1983 to 2012 is similar across the four surveys. Almost 90% of the respondents reported single-sided hearing loss or deafness, while approximately 60% report experiencing vertigo.

	Percentage of responses			
Common symptoms reported	2012	2007-2008	1998	1983
Single-sided hearing loss or deafness	88	88	88	86
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	74	73	64	57
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	63	59	64	61
Headaches	28	33	33	37

Single-Sided Hearing Loss

Of the 1,394 respondents who responded to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey, 1,224 reported experiencing some single-sided hearing loss or deafness related to their tumor. The following table contains the self-reported Gardner-Robertson class for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	259	21	66	5
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	322	26	124	10
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	172	14	106	9
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	140	11	124	10
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	66	5	605	49
Don't Know	265	22	199	16
Total	1224	100	1224	100

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

The following table contains the number and percentage of respondents receiving treatments or rehabilitation therapies to improve their hearing. The percentages listed are of the 1,224 respondents who responded to both the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey and who reported some single-sided hearing loss or deafness related to their tumor.

Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid	145	12
BiCROS hearing aid	52	4
Bone conduction hearing devices (such as Cochlear Baha, Oticon Ponto Pro, TransEar, Sophono or SoundBite)	102	8
Cochlear implants	4	< 1
Completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aid	2	< 1
CROS hearing aid	96	8
Device to amplify telephone	18	1
Device to amplify TV	45	4
Direct audio to input microphone	3	< 1
FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed on a table)	16	1
In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid	24	2
In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid	47	4

Facial Weakness

Of the 1,394 respondents who responded to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey, 458 reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor. The following table contains the self-reported House-Brackmann Grade for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Grade I. Normal	316	69	115	25
Grade II. Mild	87	19	162	35
Grade III. Moderate	24	5	76	17
Grade IV. Moderate severe	8	2	69	15
Grade V. Severe	8	2	15	3
Grade VI. Complete paralysis	9	2	19	4
Don't Know	6	1	2	< 1
Total	458	100	458	100

	Definition of House-Brackmann Grades
Grade I	Normal facial function in all areas.
Grade II	Mild movement weakness, normal symmetry at rest. Slight weakness noticeable on close inspection; may have very slight synkinesis (inappropriate movement with voluntary movement of another muscle), moderate to good forehead motion, complete eye closure with minimum effort, only slight mouth disturbance.
Grade III	Moderate dysfunction with noticeable asymmetry, good eye closure. Obvious but not disfiguring difference between two sides; noticeable but not severe synkinesis. Normal balance and tone at rest, slight to moderate movement of forehead, complete eye closure with effort, mouth movement slightly weak with maximum effort.
Grade IV	Moderately severe dysfunction with gross asymmetry and incomplete eye closure. Obvious facial weakness and/or disfiguring asymmetry with gross movement. Normal symmetry and tone at rest. No forehead movement on affected side, incomplete eye closure, mouth asymmetric with maximum effort.
Grade V	Severe dysfunction with minimal facial movement. Only barely perceptible motion with attempted movement. Face unbalanced at rest. No forehead motion, incomplete eye closure. Slight mouth movement possible.
Grade VI	Complete paralysis. No movement.

The following table contains the number and percentage of respondents who received treatments or rehabilitation therapies to correct facial weakness. Please keep in mind that respondents had the ability to choose multiple post-surgical treatments and rehabilitation therapies from the list below. The percentages listed are of the 458 respondents who experienced some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor.

Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Surgery or treatment to correct facial weakness		
12-7 Transfer (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve)	25	5
Cross face nerve graft	6	1
Facial suspension or sling	8	2
Face lift - on the tumor side	13	3
Face lift - Both sides	6	1
Masseter muscle transposition	1	< 1
Electrical stimulation of the face	31	7
Regional muscle transfer	0	0
Free muscle transfer, transplanting muscle from other part of body	1	< 1
Surgery to improve eyelid position and/or function		
Brow elevation	19	4
Canthoplasty	3	1
Eyelid spring	12	3
Gold weight in eyelid	79	17
Lower eyelid repositioning	16	3
Tarsorrhaphy	29	6
Tissue grafts and stents	1	< 1

Post-Treatment

The table below contains the percentage of the whole group (n = 1,394) who received treatments, physical therapy or training to improve several issues surrounding their AN tumor.

Treatment, physical therapy or training to improve	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Balance	312	22
Dizziness (vestibular rehabilitation)	171	12
Facial movement	154	11
Fall risk reduction	60	4
Psychological issues	74	5

Quality of Life

The 2012 Initial Contact survey and Follow-Up survey contained new questions related to the respondents' employment, use of handicapped parking permits, their perceptions of their symptoms and quality of life since their diagnosis.

Question	Number of responses	Percentage of responses		
Employment (1,378 responses)				
After diagnosis, able to continue regular employme	ent and/or activities			
No	163	12		
Yes	1215	88		
If yes, still employed in same capacity or perfo	orm same activities tod	ay?		
Yes	874	72		
No	335	28		
No answer	6	< 1		
If no, why not?				
Became disabled	36	11		
Quit to pursue another job or other interests	52	16		
Retired	238	71		
No answer	9	3		
Handicapped parking permit (795 responses)				
Did you use a handicapped parking permit after yo	our treatment?			
Yes	88	11		
No	707	89		
If no, why did you not use the permit? $(n = 684)$				
I did not feel the need to use one.	I did not feel the need to use one. 476 70			
I did not know I qualified to use one. 208 30				

Respondents who received treatment(s) were asked to consider their symptoms and quality of life before treatment, after treatment, and today. The table below contains the percentage of AN patients who provided responses.

	Percentage of respondents						
Question	Significantly better	Moderately better	Somewhat better	No significant change	Somewhat worse	Moderately worse	Significantly worse
Considering your symptoms at diagnosis, how do you consider your symptoms now?	27	9	11	19	14	8	11
Considering your symptoms just after treatment, how do you consider your symptoms now?	37	16	15	18	7	4	3
Considering your quality of life at diagnosis, how do you consider your quality of life now?	18	9	9	28	22	9	6
Considering your quality of life after treatment, how do you consider your quality of life now?	36	16	12	21	8	5	2

Structure of the Report

The remainder of this report segments the respondents by which treatment modality they underwent, as well as those who are watching and waiting. The first four parts of the report by treatment modality (microsurgery, SSR, and FSR) or watch and wait contain information reported by respondents who participated in either the Initial Contact survey or the Follow-Up survey.

However, questions about symptoms were asked differently in the two surveys. Individuals participating in the Initial Contact survey were asked to describe their symptoms at diagnosis and six months after treatment. However, individuals who responded to the Follow-Up survey were asked to describe their symptoms at diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

As a result, each of the four parts contains basic descriptions of their treatment modality for individuals who responded to both surveys. However, reports of symptoms contain only the responses of individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey.

Patient who responded to the 2007–2008 survey were invited to participate in a follow-up survey in November 2012. Responses were received from 399 individuals; however, only 371 were completed. The Follow-Up section of the 2012 report updates 2007–2008 responses with those made in the most recent survey. The 2012 responses were matched by email address with the 2007–2008 responses. Fewer than 300 (n = 298) provided complete data from both surveys. Therefore, the Follow-up section of the report contains the responses of 298 individuals who provided information about their AN experiences in both 2007–2008 and 2012.

MICROSURGERY

The first section of the report on microsurgery is based on the 587 individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey and indicated they had microsurgery to treat their acoustic neuroma. The following tables contain a description of the respondents and their experiences with microsurgery.

Information About Microsurgery Patients and Their AN Tumor

Reasons to choose microsurgical resection as a treatment/management option	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Followed my physician's advice	488	83
Personal choice	330	56
Because I know someone who had this management option	59	10
Because I know someone who wished he/she had this management option	9	2
Because of my employment situation at the time of the decision	26	4
Because of my insurance situation at the time of the decision	33	6
Because of concerns with my social support system	7	1
Because of concerns about my financial situation	18	3

Period in which microsurgery occurred	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Prior to 1990	34	6
Between 1990 and 1999	93	16
Between 2000 and 2009	188	32
Between 2010 and 2012	243	41
No response	29	5
Total	587	100

Respondents indicated that they were treated by dozens of physicians at several dozen institutions around the country. The following table illustrates those institutions and physicians cited by at least three respondents.

Institution/hospital	Location	Physicians listed by respondents
Barrow Neurological Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital	Phoenix, AZ	Spetzler, Syms, Weisskopf
Duke-Raleigh Hospital	Raleigh, NC	Cunningham, Friedman, Fukushima, Kaylie, McElveen, Zomorod
Johns Hopkins Hospital	Baltimore, MD	Francis, Holliday, Long, Minor, Niparko, Olivi, Stewart, Tamargo, Weingart
Massachusetts General Hospital	Boston, MA	Barker, Lee, Martuza, McKenna, Nadol, Odjemann, Poe, Quesnell, Smullen, Worthington
New York University Medical Center	New York, NY	Cohen, Golfinos, Gutin, Kelly, Ransohoff, Roland, Selesnick, Sen
Stanford Physicians	Palo Alto, CA	Blevins, Chang, Harsh, Jackler
St. Vincent Hospital/House Ear Clinic Los Angeles	Los Angeles, CA	Brackmann, Day, De La Cruz, Fayad, Friedman, Hasselbach, Herzog, Hitselberger, House, Luxford, Schwartz, Slattery

Length of hospitalization	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
1 day	3	< 1
2-3 days	90	15
4-6 days	317	54
7-10 days	101	17
More than 10 days	3	< 1
No response	73	12
Total	587	100

Surgical approach	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Translabyrinthine approach	297	51
Retrosigmoid/sub-occipital approach	181	31
Middle fossa approach	81	14
Don't know/no response	28	5
Total	587	100

Recovery

The following table contains information about the respondents' complications and recovery period after microsurgery. Respondents were able to select having experienced multiple complications so the percentage of responses indicate percentage of the 587 individuals.

Complications related to surgery	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak (CSF leak)	85	14
Hydrocephalus (Water on the brain)	10	2
Meningitis	15	3
Wound infection	21	4
Coma	4	< 1
Intractable vertigo (sustained vertigo lasting longer than 30 days)	33	6
Other	119	20
No complications	363	62
Time to recover fully from treatment		
Approximately 1 week	6	1
Approximately 2 weeks	0	0
Approximately 1 month	84	14
Approximately 3 months	171	29
Approximately 6 months	116	20
Approximately 12 months	60	10
More than 12 months	150	26
No response	0	0

^{*}based on 587 respondents

Symptoms Reported

This section of symptoms reports only the responses of those individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey.

The survey asked respondents to indicate which symptoms they experienced related to their acoustic neuroma. Only those respondents who reported experiencing a specific symptom were queried about their experience with that symptom. Percentages reported below are from respondents of the Initial Contact survey indicating that they had undergone 562 microsurgical resections of their tumor.

Slight differences in frequency reported in this table and in the two tables following it can be attributed to respondents who indicated they had a symptom, but not reporting frequency or severity AND reporting a symptom six months after treatment that they did not report at diagnosis.

Individuals who responded to the Follow-Up survey are not included in this summary of symptoms.

Symptoms treated via microsurgical resection	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	390	69
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	382	68
Fullness in ear	229	41
Headaches	198	35
Facial numbness	165	29
Fatigue	162	29
Eye problems	156	28
Single-sided hearing loss or deafness	139	25
Memory issues	139	25
Change in smell or taste	117	21
Difficulty concentrating	115	20
Facial twitching	102	18
Depression	96	17
Difficulty swallowing	69	12
I had no symptoms	54	10

The frequency and severity of the most common symptoms (tinnitus, vertigo/balance disturbance, and headaches) at diagnosis and six months after microsurgical resection of the tumor are reported below.

Symptom Number responses Percentage of responses Number of responses Percentage of responses Tinnitus–Frequency 244 65 250 63 Daily (At least once a day) 74 20 56 14 Weekly (At least once a week) 29 8 20 5 Monthly (At least once a month) 12 3 29 7 Less frequent than once a month 19 5 44 11 Total 378 100 399 100 Innitus–Severity 3 16 57 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 54 14 59 14 4 57 14 59 14 5 (Most		At diagnosis			nths after tment
Symptom responses responses responses responses Tinnitus—Frequency 2 4 65 250 63 Daily (At least once a day) 74 20 56 14 Weekly (At least once a week) 29 8 20 5 Monthly (At least once a month) 12 3 29 7 Less frequent than once a month 19 5 44 11 Total 378 100 39 100 Tinnitus—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 21 6 57 14 4 4 149 40 102 25 2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 10 29 Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a week) 70 19 48		Number	Percentage		
Constantly	Symptom				
Constantly	Tinnitus-Frequency				
Daily (At least once a day)		244	65	250	63
Monthly (At least once a month)		74	20	56	14
Less frequent than once a month 19 5 44 11 17 17 10 378 100 399 100 10	Weekly (At least once a week)	29	8	20	5
Total 378 100 399 100 Tinnitus—Severity 3 1 6 57 14 4 54 14 59 14 3 149 40 102 25 2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 120 29 Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 26 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 3 8 10 18 4 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 5	Monthly (At least once a month)	12	3	29	7
Tinnitus-Severity	Less frequent than once a month	19	5	44	11
5 (Most severe/disabling) 21 6 57 14 4 54 14 59 14 3 149 40 102 25 2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 120 29 Total 3377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 7 10 19 48 12 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409	Total	378	100	399	100
5 (Most severe/disabling) 21 6 57 14 4 54 14 59 14 3 149 40 102 25 2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 120 29 Total 3377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 7 10 19 48 12 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409	Tinnitus-Severity				
14		21	6	57	14
3 149 40 102 25 2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 120 29 Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 1 125 34 70 17 2 8 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74					
2 74 20 75 18 1 (Least severe/mild) 79 21 120 29 Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 4 4 13 53 13 3 12 34 70 17 2 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 4 13 53 13 13 13 13			40		
Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Frequency Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 4 4 48 13 53 13 13 2 22 82 20 17 2 28 20 2 82 20 2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Total 377 100 413 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance–Frequency Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 4 48 13 53 13 13 13 13 13 12 23 11 17 2 280 22 82 20 10					
Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 4 4 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency 23 12 23 11 Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekl		377	100	413	100
Constantly 75 21 68 17 Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 4 4 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency 23 12 23 11 Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekl	Vartigo/balance disturbance Fraguency				
Daily (At least once a day) 134 37 140 34 Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches –Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33		75	21	68	17
Weekly (At least once a week) 70 19 48 12 Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month 18 10 70 33 Total <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Monthly (At least once a month) 28 8 35 9 Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency 23 12 23 11 Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33				-	
Less frequent than once a month 56 15 119 29 Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency 23 12 23 11 Constantly 23 12 23 11 Weekly (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Total 363 100 410 100 Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency 23 12 23 11 Constantly 23 12 23 11 Weekly (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 3			_		
Vertigo/balance disturbance—Severity 38 10 18 4 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches—Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 3 23 35 17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
5 (Most severe/disabling) 38 10 18 4 4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches – Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches – Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 4 23 35<		000	100		100
4 48 13 53 13 3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches – Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches–Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40		38	10	18	4
3 125 34 70 17 2 80 22 82 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total Headaches – Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches–Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40		48	13	53	13
1 (Least severe/mild) 74 20 186 45 Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches –Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	3	125	34	70	17
Total 365 100 409 100 Headaches – Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	2	80	22	82	20
Headaches – Frequency Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	1 (Least severe/mild)	74	20	186	45
Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	Total	365	100	409	100
Constantly 23 12 23 11 Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	Headaches – Frequency				
Daily (At least once a day) 64 34 45 21 Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40		23	12	23	11
Weekly (At least once a week) 58 31 53 25 Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches-Severity 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40			34	45	21
Monthly (At least once a month) 24 13 24 11 Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40					
Less frequent than once a month 18 10 70 33 Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40					
Total 187 100 215 100 Headaches—Severity 5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40		18		70	
5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40				215	
5 (Most severe/disabling) 57 30 35 17 4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40	Headaches_Severity				
4 37 20 14 7 3 43 23 35 17 2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40		57	30	35	17
3	ζ,				
2 29 16 41 20 1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40					
1 (Least severe/mild) 21 11 85 40					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Total	187	100	210	100

The frequency of other symptoms related to the tumor at diagnosis and six months after microsurgical resection of the tumor are reported below.

			Six mor	nths after
	At diagnosis		trea	tment
	Number	Percentage		Percentage
Symptom	of responses	of responses	of responses	of responses
	•	•	•	•
Eye problems Constantly	76	51	64	40
Daily (At least once a day)	53	35	52	33
Weekly (At least once a week)	10	7	13	8
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	3	8	5
Less frequent than once a month	6	4	22	14
Total Respondents	150	100	159	100
Changes in sense of taste or smell				
Constantly	62	57	45	40
Daily (At least once a day)	21	19	19	17
Weekly (At least once a week)	13	12	7	6
Monthly (At least once a month)	2	2	5	4
Less frequent than once a month	10	9	36	32
Total Respondents	108	100	112	100
Facial twitching				
Constantly	9	9	5	5
Daily (At least once a day)	38	38	29	28
Weekly (At least once a week)	28	28	18	17
Monthly (At least once a month)	13	13	17	16
Less frequent than once a month	11	11	36	34
Total Respondents	99	100	105	100
Facial numbness				
Constantly	97	62	88	50
Daily (At least once a day)	33	21	26	14
Weekly (At least once a week)	11	7	7	4
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	3	5	3
Less frequent than once a month	10	6 100	50	28
Total Respondents	156	100	176	100
Fullness in tumor-side ear	100		0.1	40
Constantly	122	57	81	40
Daily (At least once a day)	44	21	28	14
Weekly (At least once a week)	25 10	12 5	22 11	11 5
Monthly (At least once a month) Less frequent than once a month	12	6	60	30
Total Respondents	213	100	202	100
		200		200
Difficulty swallowing Constantly	17	26	11	11
Daily (At least once a day)	24	36	27	28
Weekly (At least once a week)	15	23	21	22
Monthly (At least once a month)	1	2	7	7
Less frequent than once a month	9	14	30	31
Total Respondents	66	100	96	100

	At di	agnosis		nths after tment
Symptom		Percentage of responses		Percentage of responses
				300,000.00
Difficulty concentrating Constantly	20	18	29	20
Daily (At least once a day)	59	54	53	37
Weekly (At least once a week)	23	21	35	25
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	3	10	7
Less frequent than once a month	4	4	15	11
Total Respondents	109	100	142	100
20 20 0ponuono	200	200		200
Fatigue				
Constantly	44	29	37	20
Daily (At least once a day)	73	47	68	37
Weekly (At least once a week)	26	17	38	21
Monthly (At least once a month)	10	6	16	9
Less frequent than once a month	1	1	24	13
Total Respondents	154	100	183	100
Depression				
Constantly	21	23	21	19
Daily (At least once a day)	23	25	29	26
Weekly (At least once a week)	29	32	20	18
Monthly (At least once a month)	14	15	19	17
Less frequent than once a month	4	4	24	21
Total Respondents	91	100	113	100
Momoury difficulties				
Memory difficulties Constantly	27	21	28	16
Daily (At least once a day)	55	43	52	30
Weekly (At least once a week)	33	26	46	27
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	3	23	13
Less frequent than once a month	8	6	22	13
Total Respondents	127	100	171	100

Single-Sided Hearing Loss

The following table contains the self-reported Gardner-Robertson class for respondents who underwent microsurgery via the retrosigmoid/sub-occipital or middle fossa approaches at the date of their diagnosis and at the time of the survey. Respondents reporting they had been operated on via the translabyrinthine approach were excluded from this data as this approach results in guaranteed tumor side deafness.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	75	35	17	8
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	60	28	20	9
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	24	11	14	7
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	9	4	16	7
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	5	2	116	54
Don't Know	41	19	31	14
Total	214	100	214	100

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

The following table contains the number and percentage of respondents receiving treatments or rehabilitation therapies to improve their hearing. Please keep in mind that respondents had the ability to choose multiple treatments and rehabilitation therapies from the list below. The percentages listed are based on the 587 respondents who indicated that they had undergone microsurgical resection of their tumor.

Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid	39	7
BiCROS hearing aid	24	4
Bone conduction hearing devices (such as Cochlear Baha, Oticon Ponto Pro, TransEar, Sophono or SoundBite)	64	11
Cochlear implants	1	< 1
Completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aid	1	< 1
CROS hearing aid	54	9
Device to amplify telephone	5	1
Device to amplify TV	17	3
Direct audio to input microphone	1	< 1
FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed on a table)	8	1
In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid	7	1
In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid	9	2

Facial Weakness

Of the 587 respondents who reported microsurgery as a treatment, 248 reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor. The following table contains the selfreported House-Brackmann Grade for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Grade I. Normal	182	73	68	27
Grade II. Mild	41	17	71	29
Grade III. Moderate	10	4	41	17
Grade IV. Moderate severe	5	2	48	19
Grade V. Severe	6	2	11	4
Grade VI. Complete paralysis	4	2	9	4
Total	248	100	248	100

	Definition of House-Brackmann Grades
Grade I	Normal facial function in all areas.
Grade II	Mild movement weakness, normal symmetry at rest. Slight weakness noticeable on close inspection; may have very slight synkinesis (inappropriate movement with voluntary movement of another muscle), moderate to good forehead motion, complete eye closure with minimum effort, only slight mouth disturbance.
Grade III	Moderate dysfunction with noticeable asymmetry, good eye closure. Obvious but not disfiguring difference between two sides; noticeable but not severe synkinesis. Normal balance and tone at rest, slight to moderate movement of forehead, complete eye closure with effort, mouth movement slightly weak with maximum effort.
Grade IV	Moderately severe dysfunction with gross asymmetry and incomplete eye closure. Obvious facial weakness and/or disfiguring asymmetry with gross movement. Normal symmetry and tone at rest. No forehead movement on affected side, incomplete eye closure, mouth asymmetric with maximum effort.
Grade V	Severe dysfunction with minimal facial movement. Only barely perceptible motion with attempted movement. Face unbalanced at rest. No forehead motion, incomplete eye closure. Slight mouth movement possible.
Grade VI	Complete paralysis. No movement.

The following table illustrates the number and percentage of respondents receiving treatments or rehabilitation therapies to correct facial weakness. Please keep in mind that respondents had the ability to choose multiple post-surgical treatments and rehabilitation therapies from the list below. The percentages listed are of the 248 respondents who reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor and reported that they had undergone microsurgical resection of their tumor.

Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Surgery or treatment to correct facial weakness		
12-7 Transfer (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve)	15	6
Cross face nerve graft	2	1
Facial suspension or sling	6	2
Face lift - on the tumor side	8	3
Face lift - Both sides	5	2
Masseter muscle transposition	1	< 1
Electrical stimulation of the face	21	8
Regional muscle transfer	0	0
Free muscle transfer, transplanting muscle from other part of body	1	< 1
Surgery to improve eyelid position and/or function		
Brow elevation	10	4
Canthoplasty	1	< 1
Eyelid spring	7	3
Gold weight in eyelid	53	21
Lower eyelid repositioning	11	4
Tarsorrhaphy	19	8
Tissue grafts and stents	1	< 1

Post-Treatment

Forty-nine respondents (8%) indicated regrowth or reoccurrence of growth after surgery (debulking). The following table contains number of years during which this re-growth was observed after the respondent had undergone surgery.

Tumor re-growth first observed	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Less than 1 year after surgery	10	20
1-2 years after surgery	14	29
2-3 years after surgery	6	12
3-4 years after surgery	2	4
More than 4 years after surgery	17	35
Total respondents reporting re-growth after surgery	49	100

It should be noted that there are several potential alternative explanations for the observation of "re-growth" of the tumor following surgery where none may have actually occurred. Such explanations could possibly include

- (i) only partial microsurgical resection (also known as de-bulking) may have been performed whereby some residual tumor is left in place. In this case, subsequent diagnostic imaging may show that portion of the tumor that was intentionally left in place and may be mistakenly referred to as re-growth.
- (ii) diagnostic imaging is not perfectly accurate and may indicate slight change in tumor size when compared to prior images. Tumor re-growth may have been reported as a result of this inherent inaccuracy (possibly due to use of different equipment) rather than actual changes in tumor size.

The table below contains the number and percentage of treatments, physical therapy or training received to improve several issues surrounding their AN tumor as reported by those who had undergone microsurgery.

Treatment, physical therapy or training to improve	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Balance	279	48
Dizziness (vestibular rehabilitation)	155	26
Facial movement	159	27
Fall risk reduction	89	15
Psychological issues	61	10

Quality of Life

Questions	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Employment	responses	responses
After diagnosis, able to continue regular employment and/or activit	ies	
No	93	16
Yes	493	84
No answer	1	< 1
If yes, still employed in same capacity or perform same activitie	es today? (n =	= 493)
Yes	353	72
No	139	28
No answer	1	< 1
If no, why not? $(n = 139)$		
Became disabled	48	35
Quit to pursue another job or other interests	7	5
Retired	24	17
No answer	60	43
Handicapped parking permit		
Did you use a handicapped parking permit after your treatment?		
Yes	76	13
No	509	87
No answer	2	< 1
If no, why did you not use the permit? $(n = 509)$		
I did not feel the need to use one.	335	66
I did not know I qualified to use one.	162	32
No answer	12	2

	Percentage of respondents						
Question	Significantly better	Moderately better	Somewhat better	No significant change	Somewhat worse	Moderately worse	Significantly worse
Considering your symptoms at diagnosis, how do you consider your symptoms now?	32	10	10	14	11	9	14
Considering your symptoms just after treatment, how do you consider your symptoms now?	47	18	12	10	6	4	3
Considering your quality of life at diagnosis, how do you consider your quality of life now?	22	9	8	21	22	10	7
Considering your quality of life after treatment, how do you consider your quality of life now?	46	19	10	11	7	5	2

SINGLE DOSE STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY (SSR)

The first section of the report on single dose stereotactic radiosurgery is based on the 172 individuals who reported on the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey that their tumor was treated using SSR. The following tables contain a description of the respondents and their experiences with SSR.

Information About SSR Patients and Their AN Tumor

Reasons to choose SSR as a treatment/management option	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Followed my physician's advice	104	60
Personal choice	127	74
Because I know someone who had this management option	17	10
Because I know someone who wished he/she had this management option	4	2
Because of my employment situation at the time of the decision	15	9
Because of my insurance situation at the time of the decision	5	3
Because of concerns with my social support system	4	2
Because of concerns about my financial situation	5	3
Total	172	100

Period in which SSR occurred	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Prior to 1990	0	0
Between 1990 and 1999	14	8
Between 2000 and 2009	71	41
Between 2010 and 2012	83	48
No response/Don't know	4	2
Total	172	100

Respondents indicated that they were treated by dozens of physicians at several dozen institutions around the country. The following table illustrates only those institutions cited by at least two respondents.

Institution/hospital	Location	Physicians listed by respondents
Baptist Hospital	Nashville, TN	Glascock
Hinsdale Adventist Hospital	Hinsdale, IL	Kazan, Kozar, Wiet
Kaiser Permanente	San Diego and Los Angeles, CA	Cueva, Hitselberger, Mastrodimos, Di Tirro
Loyola Medical Center	Chicago, IL	Anderson, Leonetti
MD Anderson	Houston, TX	DeMonte, Levine, Gidley
Mount Sinai Medical Center	New York, NY	Bederson, Catalano, Choe, Post, Smouha
OHSU	Portland, OR	Delashaw, McMenomy
Seton	Austin, TX	Kemper, Slater
Shands at the University of Florida	Gainesville, FL	Antonelli, Friedman, Lewis
Tampa General	Tampa, FL	Aggazi, Bartels, Danner, van Loveren
Trinity Lutheran	Kansas City, KS	Luetje, Thedinger, Whittaker
UCSF	San Francisco, CA	Cheung, Jackler, Parsa, Pitts
University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics/Department of Otolaryngology	Iowa City, IA	Gantz
University of Utah Hospital	Salt Lake City, UT	MacDonald, Shelton
UT Southwestern Hospital/Zale Lipshy	Dallas, TX	Kutz, Mickey, Roland

Description of Radiation Treatment(s)

The table below contains the type of equipment used and the marginal radiation dose the 172 respondents reported they received. This is the amount of radiation delivered to the tumor margin or the 50% isodose line. Radiation delivered to the tumor site is measured in Gray (Gy) or Rads (Note: 1 Gy=100 Rads).

Description	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Type of equipment		
Gamma Knife (Leksell Gamma Knife – Elekta Corporation)	145	84
Linear accelerator (LINAC – various manufacturers)	6	3
Proton accelerator (Proton Beam radiation treatment)	2	1
Don't know what type of delivery system was used	15	9
Other-CyberKnife	4	2
Marginal dose of radiation received		
Less than 10 Gy	1	1
10.0 – 10.9 Gy	1	1
11.0 – 11.9 Gy	7	4
12.0 – 12.9 Gy	24	14
13.0 – 13.9 Gy	5	3
14.0 – 14.9 Gy	1	1
15.0 – 15.9 Gy	1	1
16.0 – 16.9 Gy	3	2
Greater than 16.9 Gy	5	3
Don't Know	124	71

Recovery

The following table contains information about the respondents' complications and recovery period after their SSR treatment. The percentages are based on 172 respondents who indicated they received SSR for their tumor.

Complications related to SSR treatment	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak (CSF leak)	6	4
Hydrocephalus (Water on the brain)	1	< 1
Wound infection	2	1
Coma	0	0
Intractable vertigo (sustained vertigo lasting longer than 30 days)	6	4
Other	31	18
No complications	102	61
Time to recover fully from treatment		
Approximately 1 week	76	44
Approximately 2 weeks	15	9
Approximately 1 month	14	8
Approximately 3 months	14	8
Approximately 6 months	20	12
Approximately 12 months	3	2
More than 12 months	27	16
No response	3	2

^{*}based on 172 respondents

Symptoms Reported

This section of symptoms reports only the responses of those individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey.

The survey asked respondents to indicate which symptoms they experienced related to their acoustic neuroma. Only those respondents who reported experiencing a specific symptom were queried about their experience with that symptom. Percentages reported in the following tables are from the 166 respondents to the Initial Contact survey indicating that they had undergone SSR of their tumor.

Slight differences in frequency reported in this table and in the two tables following it can be attributed to respondents who indicated they had a symptom, but not reporting frequency or severity AND reporting a symptom six months after treatment that they did not report at diagnosis.

Individuals who responded to the Follow-Up survey are not included in this summary of symptoms.

Reported symptoms	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Single-sided loss of hearing or deafness	135	81
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	132	80
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	112	67
Fullness in ear	86	52
Fatigue	52	31
Headaches	39	23
Facial numbness	39	23
Facial twitching	38	23
Eye problems	37	22
Memory issues	35	21
Difficulty concentrating	31	19
Depression	27	16
Change in smell or taste	24	14
Difficulty swallowing	20	12
I had no symptoms	9	5

The frequency and severity of the most common symptoms (tinnitus, vertigo/balance disturbance, and headaches) at diagnosis and six months after single dose stereotactic radiosurgery are reported below.

	At diagnosis			nths after tment
Symptom	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tinnitus-Frequency				
Constantly	22	21	104	72
Daily (At least once a day)	35	34	23	16
Weekly (At least once a week)	27	26	2	1
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	5	1	1
Less frequent than once a month	15	14	14	10
Total	104	100	144	100
Tinnitus-Severity				
5 (Most severe/disabling)	10	8	21	14
4	18	14	25	16
3	38	30	43	28
2	36	29	32	21
1 (Least severe/mild)	24	19	33	21
Total	126	100	154	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Frequency				
Constantly	22	21	22	17
Daily (At least once a day)	35	34	43	32
Weekly (At least once a week)	27	26	24	18
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	5	8	6
Less frequent than once a month	15	24	36	27
Total	104	100	133	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity	1.0	10	-	~
5 (Most severe/disabling)	13	12	7	5
4	12	11	13	9
3 2	29	27	21	15
	31 23	29 21	41 55	30 40
1 (Least severe/mild) Total	108	100	137	100
	100	100	137	100
Headaches – Frequency			_	
Constantly	6	17	5	9
Daily (At least once a day)	10	29	13	24
Weekly (At least once a week)	9	26	11	20
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	14	6	11
Less frequent than once a month	5 35	14	20 55	36
Total	35	100	55	100
Headaches–Severity	4.0	• •		
5 (Most severe/disabling)	10	28	13	22
4	9	25	2	3
3	8	22	12	20
2	8	22	13	22
1 (Least severe/mild)	1	3 100	19 50	32 100
<u>Total</u>	36	100	59	100

The frequency of other symptoms related to the tumor at diagnosis and six months after SSR treatment are reported below.

	At diagnosis			nths after tment
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Symptom	of responses	of responses	of responses	of responses
Evo problems				
Eye problems Constantly	12	38	11	22
Daily (At least once a day)	16	50	18	37
Weekly (At least once a week)	10	3	7	14
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	2	4
Less frequent than once a month	3	9	11	22
Total Respondents	32	100	49	100
Total Respondents	32	100	47	100
Changes in sense of taste or smell	1.5		10	
Constantly	15	75	12	55
Daily (At least once a day)	2	10	3	14
Weekly (At least once a week)	3	15	1	5
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	1	5
Less frequent than once a month	0	0	5	23
Total Respondents	20	100	22	100
Facial twitching				
Constantly	0	0	1	2
Daily (At least once a day)	17	53	18	35
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	19	6	12
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	16	10	19
Less frequent than once a month	4	13	17	33
Total Respondents	32	100	52	100
Facial numbness				
Constantly	17	55	15	31
Daily (At least once a day)	5	16	10	21
Weekly (At least once a week)	5	16	1	2
Monthly (At least once a month)	2	6	3	6
Less frequent than once a month	2	6	19	40
Total Respondents	31	100	48	100
Fullness in tumor-side ear				
Constantly	50	65	45	48
Daily (At least once a day)	10	13	7	8
Weekly (At least once a week)	11	14	9	10
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	4	7	8
Less frequent than once a month	3	4	25	27
Total Respondents	77	100	93	100
Difficulty swallowing				
Constantly	4	27	5	17
Daily (At least once a day)	5	33	10	34
Weekly (At least once a week)	4	27	2	7
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	0	0
Less frequent than once a month	2	13	12	41
Total Respondents	15	100	29	100

	A 4 3:	! .		nths after
		agnosis		tment
	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Percentage of
Symptom	responses	responses	responses	responses
Difficulty concentrating				
Constantly	6	22	6	22
Daily (At least once a day)	15	56	15	56
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	22	6	22
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	0	0
Less frequent than once a month	0	0	0	0
Total Respondents	27	100	27	100
Fatigue				
Constantly	9	20	9	20
Daily (At least once a day)	25	54	25	54
Weekly (At least once a week)	12	26	12	26
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	0	0
Less frequent than once a month	0	0	0	0
Total Respondents	46	100	46	100
Depression				
Constantly	7	29	7	29
Daily (At least once a day)	5	21	5	21
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	25	6	25
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	17	4	17
Less frequent than once a month	2	8	2	8
Total Respondents	24	100	24	100
Memory difficulties				
Constantly	8	26	8	26
Daily (At least once a day)	14	45	14	45
Weekly (At least once a week)	8	26	8	26
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	0	0
Less frequent than once a month	1	3	1	3
Total Respondents	31	100	31	100

Single-Sided Hearing Loss

The following tables contain the self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class of 159 respondents who underwent SSR and reported single-sided hearing loss or deafness. The Gardner-Roberts Class is reported at the time of their diagnosis and at the time of the survey. The strategies these individuals used to improve their hearing are also reported.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	24	15	5	3
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	48	30	21	14
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	24	15	20	14
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	26	16	34	23
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	7	4	51	34
Don't Know	30	19	17	11
Total	159	100	148	100

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

2

4

9

106

1 2

5

61

Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid	23	13
BiCROS hearing aid	3	2
Bone conduction hearing devices (such as Cochlear Baha, Oticon Ponto Pro, TransEar, Sophono or SoundBite)	7	4
Cochlear implants	1	1
Completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aid	0	0
CROS hearing aid	7	4
Device to amplify telephone	2	1
Device to amplify TV	7	4
Direct audio to input microphone	0	0

FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed

on a table)

None

In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid

In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid

Facial Weakness

Of the 172 respondents who reported their tumor was treated using SSR, 46 reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to the tumor. The following table contains the self-reported House-Brackmann Grade for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Respondents self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Grade I. Normal	29	62	15	33
Grade II. Mild	10	22	16	36
Grade III. Moderate	5	11	3	7
Grade IV. Moderate severe	0	0	5	11
Grade V. Severe	2	4	5	9
Grade VI. Complete paralysis	0	0	2	4
Total Respondents	46	100	46	100

	Definition of House-Brackmann Grades
Grade I	Normal facial function in all areas.
Grade II	Mild movement weakness, normal symmetry at rest. Slight weakness noticeable on close inspection; may have very slight synkinesis (inappropriate movement with voluntary movement of another muscle), moderate to good forehead motion, complete eye closure with minimum effort, only slight mouth disturbance.
Grade III	Moderate dysfunction with noticeable asymmetry, good eye closure. Obvious but not disfiguring difference between two sides; noticeable but not severe synkinesis. Normal balance and tone at rest, slight to moderate movement of forehead, complete eye closure with effort, mouth movement slightly weak with maximum effort.
Grade IV	Moderately severe dysfunction with gross asymmetry and incomplete eye closure. Obvious facial weakness and/or disfiguring asymmetry with gross movement. Normal symmetry and tone at rest. No forehead movement on affected side, incomplete eye closure, mouth asymmetric with maximum effort.
Grade V	Severe dysfunction with minimal facial movement. Only barely perceptible motion with attempted movement. Face unbalanced at rest. No forehead motion, incomplete eye closure. Slight mouth movement possible.
Grade VI	Complete paralysis. No movement.

The following table contains the number and frequency of respondents receiving post-surgery treatments or rehabilitation therapies to correct facial weakness. Please keep in mind that respondents had the ability to choose multiple post-surgical treatments and rehabilitation therapies from the list below. The percentages listed are of the 46 respondents who indicated they had undergone SSR to treat their tumor and they experienced facial weakness.

Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Surgery or treatment to correct facial weakness	_	
12-7 Transfer (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve)	0	0
Cross face nerve graft	0	0
Facial suspension or sling	1	2
Face lift - on the tumor side	1	2
Face lift - Both sides	0	0
Masseter muscle transposition	1	2
Electrical stimulation of the face	5	11
Regional muscle transfer	0	0
Free muscle transfer, transplanting muscle from other part of body	0	0
Surgery to improve eyelid position and/or function		
Brow elevation	1	2
Canthoplasty	0	0
Eyelid spring	2	4
Gold weight in eyelid	4	9
Lower eyelid repositioning	1	2
Tarsorrhaphy	0	0
Tissue grafts and stents	0	0

Post-Treatment

The following tables contain information about post-treatment issues respondents' reported after SSR treatment of their tumor.

	At diagnosis		Post-treatment	
Tumor size	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
0.1 - 0.4 cm	12	7	7	4
0.5 - 1.0 cm	31	19	13	8
1.1 - 1.5 cm	37	22	24	14
1.6 - 2.0 cm	27	16	10	6
2.1 - 2.5 cm	22	13	10	6
2.6 - 3.0 cm	13	8	4	2
3.1 - 3.5 cm	11	7	0	0
3.6 - 4.0 cm	1	1	0	0
Larger than 4.0 cm	5	3	0	0
Don't know	6	4	20	12
No response	0	0	78	47
Total	165	100	166	100

Change in tumor size and enhancement characteristics since treatment	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Experience any change in tumor size since treatment		
No	61	35
Yes, it has either grown or shrunk	109	63
No answer	2	1
Evidence of central death of the tumor $(n = 166)$		
No	28	17
Yes	62	37
Don't know	76	46
Has the brightness with which the tumor <i>lights up</i> on MRI film chang treatment? $(n = 166)$	ed since yo	ur
No	29	17
Don't know/Not sure	109	66
Yes	28	17
If yes, What change in enhancement characteristics (brightness) hat $(n = 28)$	ve you exp	erienced?
The tumor appears brighter now than it did upon diagnosis	1	4
The tumor appears darker now than it did upon diagnosis	15	54
Don't know/Not sure	12	43

Treatment, physical therapy or training to improve	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Balance	45	26
Dizziness (vestibular rehabilitation)	20	12
Facial movement	16	9
Fall risk reduction	10	6
Psychological issues	10	6

^{*}based on 172 respondents

Quality of Life

Question	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Employment	responses	responses
After diagnosis, able to continue regular employment a	and/or activities	
No	17	10
Yes	153	90
If yes, still employed in same capacity or perform	same activities tod	ay?
Yes	120	78
No	33	22
If no, why not?		
Became disabled	12	36
Quit to pursue another job or other interests	3	9
Retired	18	55
Handicapped parking permit		
Did you use a handicapped parking permit after your t	reatment?	
Yes	21	12
No	149	88
If no, why did you not use the permit? $(n = 14)$	9)	
I did not feel the need to use one.	105	70
I did not know I qualified to use one.	36	24
No answer	8	54

^{*}based on 170 responses

			Percent	age of resp	ondents		
Question	Significantly better	Moderately better	Somewhat better	No significant change	Somewhat worse	Moderately worse	Significantly worse
Considering your symptoms at diagnosis, how do you consider your symptoms now?	17	8	15	31	17	7	6
Considering your symptoms just after treatment, how do you consider your symptoms now?	20	12	12	36	11	7	2
Considering your quality of life at diagnosis, how do you consider your quality of life now?	11	8	9	44	18	6	4
Considering your quality of life after treatment, how do you consider your quality of life now?	21	11	11	41	11	4	2

FRACTIONATED STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY (FSR)

The first section of the report on fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery (FSR) is based on the 109 individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey. The following tables contain a description of the respondents and their experiences with FSR.

Information About FSR Patients and Their AN Tumor

Reasons to choose FSR	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Followed my physician's advice	66	61
Personal choice	81	74
Because I know someone who had this management option	13	12
Because I know someone who wished he/she had this management option	3	3
Because of my employment situation at the time of the decision	10	9
Because of my insurance situation at the time of the decision	2	2
Because of concerns with my social support system	6	6
Because of concerns about my financial situation	4	4

Period in which FSR occurred	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Prior to 1990	1	1
Between 1991 and 1999	4	4
Between 2000 and 2009	40	37
Between 2010 and 2012	61	56
No response/don't know	3	3
Total	109	100

The following table contains only those institutions cited by at least two respondents.

Institution/hospital	Location	Physicians listed by respondents
Johns Hopkins	Baltimore, MD	Williams
Kaiser Cancer Center	San Francisco, CA	Tse, Wara
Stanford University Hospital	Palo Alto, CA	Chang, Gibbs, Solstys
Thomas Jefferson University	Philadelphia, PA	Andrews

Description of FSR Treatment(s)

	Number of	Percentage of
Description	responses	responses
Duration of treatment		
Less than one week	60	55
Between 1 and 2 weeks	14	13
Between 2 and 3 weeks	1	1
Between 3 and 4 weeks	4	4
Between 4 and 5 weeks	11	10
More than 5 weeks	17	16
No response	2	2
Number of fractions (treatments) received		
Fewer than 5 fractions	59	54
Between 5 and 10 fractions	20	18
Between 11 and 15 fractions	0	0
Between 16 and 20 fractions	0	0
Between 21 and 25 fractions	6	6
Between 26 and 30 fractions	18	17
More than 30 fractions	4	4
No response	2	2
Equipment used to deliver treatment(s)		
Linear accelerator (LINAC - various manufacturers)	23	21
CyberKnife (Accuray Incorporated)	62	57
Proton accelerator (Proton Beam radiation treatment)	6	6
Don't know what type of delivery system was used	11	10
Other – Gamma Knife, Novalis, Trilogy, Varian TrueBeam	4	4

Recovery

The following table contains information about the respondents' complications and recovery period after fractionated stereotactic radiosurgery. The percentages are based on 109 respondents who indicated they received FSR for their tumor.

Recovery	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Complications related to treatment		
Cerebrospinal Fluid Leak (CSF leak)	0	0
Hydrocephalus (Water on the brain)	0	0
Intractable vertigo (sustained vertigo lasting longer than 30 days)	1	1
Other	0	0
No complications	9	8
Time to recover fully from treatment		
Approximately 1 week	46	42
Approximately 2 weeks	9	8
Approximately 1 month	13	12
Approximately 3 months	5	5
Approximately 6 months	4	4
Approximately 12 months	8	7
More than 12 months	22	20
No response	2	2

^{*}based on 109 respondents

Symptoms Reported

This section of symptoms reports only the responses of those individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey.

The survey asked respondents to indicate which symptoms they experienced related to their acoustic neuroma. Only those respondents who reported experiencing a specific symptom were queried about their experience with that symptom. Percentages reported below are from the 109 respondents of the Initial Contact survey indicating that they had undergone FSR to treat their tumor.

Slight differences in frequency reported in this table and in the two tables following it can be attributed to respondents who indicated they had a symptom, but not reporting frequency or severity AND reporting a symptom six months after treatment that they did not report at diagnosis.

Individuals who responded to the Follow-Up survey are not included in this summary of symptoms.

Symptoms	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	92	84
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	83	76
Single-sided hearing loss or deafness	82	75
Fullness in ear	62	57
Fatigue	43	39
Headaches	36	33
Facial numbness	30	28
Facial twitching	28	26
Memory issues	27	25
Difficulty concentrating	25	22
Depression	25	23
Eye problems	18	17
Change in smell or taste	17	16
Difficulty swallowing	11	10
I had no symptoms	6	6

The frequency and severity of the most common symptoms (tinnitus, vertigo/balance disturbance, and headaches) at diagnosis and six months after FSR are reported below.

	At dia	agnosis		nths after tment
Symptom	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tinnitus-Frequency	_			
Constantly	62	71	63	68
Daily (At least once a day)	17	20	15	16
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	7	4	4
Monthly (At least once a month)	1	1	6	7
Less frequent than once a month	1	1	4	4
Total	87	100	92	100
Tinnitus-Severity				
5 (Most severe/disabling)	10	11	11	12
4	15	17	18	19
3	28	32	23	25
2	13	15	17	18
1 (Least severe/mild)	21	24	24	26
Total	87	100	93	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Frequency				
Constantly	14	18	19	23
Daily (At least once a day)	33	42	30	36
Weekly (At least once a week)	10	13	13	15
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	6	4	5
Less frequent than once a month	6	16	18	21
Total	78	100	84	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity				
5 (Most severe/disabling)	6	8	6	7
4	11	14	12	14
3	22	28	23	27
2	19	24	14	16
1 (Least severe/mild)	21	27	31	36
Total	79	100	86	100
Headaches –Frequency				
Constantly	1	3	3	9
Daily (At least once a day)	18	55	11	31
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	18	12	34
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	15	3	9
Less frequent than once a month	3	9	6	17
Total	33	100	35	100
Headaches-Severity				
5 (Most severe/disabling)	2	6	5	14
4	5	15	2	6
3	12	35	8	22
2	8	24	8	22
1 (Least severe/mild)	7	21	13	36
Total	34	100	36	100

The frequency of other symptoms related to the tumor at diagnosis and six months after FSR treatment are reported below.

accument are reported serow.		_	Six months after	
	At dia	agnosis	trea	tment
	Number	Percentage	Number of	Percentage
Symptom	of responses	of responses	responses	of responses
Eye problems	4	22	_	25
Constantly	4	22	5	25 25
Daily (At least once a day)	10	56 6	5 2	25
Weekly (At least once a week)	1		1	10
Monthly (At least once a month)	1 2	6 11	7	5 35
Less frequent than once a month	18		20	
Total Respondents	10	100	20	100
Changes in sense of taste or smell				
Constantly	7	44	4	21
Daily (At least once a day)	4	25	1	5
Weekly (At least once a week)	2	13	3	16
Monthly (At least once a month)	0	0	2	11
Less frequent than once a month	3	19	9	47
Total Respondents	16	100	19	100
Facial twitching				
Constantly	1	4	2	7
Daily (At least once a day)	13	48	9	33
Weekly (At least once a week)	4	15	5	19
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	15	1	4
Less frequent than once a month	5	19	10	37
Total Respondents	27	100	27	100
Total Respondents		100	_,	100
Facial numbness				
Constantly	9	30	12	35
Daily (At least once a day)	9	30	5	15
Weekly (At least once a week)	3	10	2	6
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	13	5	15
Less frequent than once a month	5	17	10	29
Total Respondents	30	100	34	100
Fullness in tumor-side ear				
Constantly	23	40	21	38
Daily (At least once a day)	23	40	11	20
Weekly (At least once a week)	5	9	6	11
Monthly (At least once a month)	5	9	5	9
Less frequent than once a month	2	3	12	22
Total Respondents	58	100	55	100
Difficulty evallowing				
Difficulty swallowing Constantly	2	18	4	22
Daily (At least once a day)	2 4	18 36	4 4	22
	2	36 18	3	17
Weekly (At least once a week) Monthly (At least once a month)	1	18 9	3 4	22
Less frequent than once a month	2	9 18	3	22 17
	11	100	18	100
Total Respondents	11	100	19	100

Single-Sided Hearing Loss

The following table contains the self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class at diagnosis and at the time of the survey of the 100 respondents who reported single-sided hearing loss or deafness and underwent FSR.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey		
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	18	18	7	7	
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	31	31	11	11	
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	19	19	18	18	
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	9	9	19	19	
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	4	4	20	20	
Don't Know	19	19	24	24	
Total	100	100	99	100	

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid	22	22
BiCROS hearing aid	4	4
Bone conduction hearing devices (such as Cochlear Baha, Oticon Ponto Pro, TransEar, Sophono or SoundBite)	3	3
Cochlear implants	1	1
CROS hearing aid	4	4
Device to amplify telephone	1	1
Device to amplify TV	8	8
Direct audio input microphone	1	1
FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed on a table)	2	2
In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid	3	3
In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid	5	5
None	60	60

^{*}based on 100 respondents

Facial Weakness

Of the 109 respondents who indicated in the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey that they had undergone FSR, 27 reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor. The following table contains the self-reported House-Brackmann Grade for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At dia	ngnosis	At time of survey		
Self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	
Grade I. Normal	18	67	8	30	
Grade II. Mild	7	26	13	48	
Grade III. Moderate	1	4	4	15	
Grade IV. Moderate severe	1	4	1	4	
Grade V. Severe	0	0	0	0	
Grade VI. Complete paralysis Total	0 27	0 100	1 27	4 100	

	Definition of House-Brackmann Grades
Grade I	Normal facial function in all areas.
Grade II	Mild movement weakness, normal symmetry at rest. Slight weakness noticeable on close inspection; may have very slight synkinesis (inappropriate movement with voluntary movement of another muscle), moderate to good forehead motion, complete eye closure with minimum effort, only slight mouth disturbance.
Grade III	Moderate dysfunction with noticeable asymmetry, good eye closure. Obvious but not disfiguring difference between two sides; noticeable but not severe synkinesis. Normal balance and tone at rest, slight to moderate movement of forehead, complete eye closure with effort, mouth movement slightly weak with maximum effort.
Grade IV	Moderately severe dysfunction with gross asymmetry and incomplete eye closure. Obvious facial weakness and/or disfiguring asymmetry with gross movement. Normal symmetry and tone at rest. No forehead movement on affected side, incomplete eye closure, mouth asymmetric with maximum effort.
Grade V	Severe dysfunction with minimal facial movement. Only barely perceptible motion with attempted movement. Face unbalanced at rest. No forehead motion, incomplete eye closure. Slight mouth movement possible.
Grade VI	Complete paralysis. No movement.

Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Surgery or treatment to correct facial weakness		
12-7 Anastomosis (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve, also called Hypoglossal-Facial Anastomosis)	0	0
Cross face nerve graft	0	0
Facial suspension or sling	0	0
Face lift (tumor side)	0	0
Face lift (both sides)	0	0
Masseter muscle transposition	0	0
Electrical stimulation of the face	0	0
Surgery to improve eyelid position and/or function		
Brow elevation	1	4
Canthoplasty	1	4
Eyelid spring	0	0
Gold weight in eyelid	5	19
Lower eyelid reposition	0	0
Tarsorrhaphy	2	7
Tissue grafts and stents	0	0

^{*}based on 27 respondents

Post-Treatment

The following tables contain information about post-treatment issues related to the FSR treatment of respondents' tumor.

	At dia	agnosis	Post-treatment		
Tumor size	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	
0.1 - 0.4 cm	5	5	3	3	
0.5 - 1.0 cm	16	15	12	12	
1.1 - 1.5 cm	27	26	14	13	
1.6 - 2.0 cm	25	24	9	9	
2.1 - 2.5 cm	10	10	7	7	
2.6 - 3.0 cm	6	6	1	1	
3.1 - 3.5 cm	4	4	0	0	
3.6 - 4.0 cm	2	2	1	1	
Larger than 4.0 cm	2	2	0	0	
Don't Know	7	7	10	10	
No response	0	0	47	45	
Total	104	100	104	100	

Treatment, physical therapy or training to improve	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Balance	32	31
Dizziness (vestibular rehabilitation)	20	19
Facial movement	8	8
Fall risk reduction	5	5
Psychological issues	16	15

^{*}based on 104 responses

Change in tumor size and enhancement characteristics since treatment	of	Percentage of responses*
Experience any change in tumor size since treatment		
No	39	38
Yes, it has either grown or shrunk	65	62
Evidence of central death of the tumor		
No	16	15
Yes	48	46
Don't know	40	38
Has the brightness with which the tumor "lights up" on MRI film chartreatment?	nged since	your
No	8	8
Don't know/Not sure	73	70
Yes	23	22
If yes, What change in enhancement characteristics (brightness) hav $(n = 23)$	ve you exp	erienced?
The tumor appears brighter now than it did upon diagnosis	3	13
The tumor appears darker now than it did upon diagnosis	13	57
Don't know/Not sure	7	30

^{*} based on 104 responses

Quality of Life

Question	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Employment	responses	responses
After diagnosis, able to continue regular employment and/or activit	ies	
No	16	15
Yes	91	85
If yes, still employed in same capacity or perform same activities today?		
Yes	68	75
No	23	25
If no, why not?		
Became disabled	11	48
Quit to pursue another job or other interests	0	0
Retired	3	13
No response	9	39
Handicapped parking permit		
Did you use a handicapped parking permit after your treatment?		
Yes	4	4
No	103	96
If no, why did you not use the permit? $(n = 103)$		
I did not feel the need to use one.	70	68
I did not know I qualified to use one.	28	27
No response	5	5

- 1	_	
	•	•
	•	1

	Percentage of respondents						
Question	Significantly better	Moderately better	Somewhat better	No significant change	Somewhat worse	Moderately worse	Significantly worse
Considering your symptoms at diagnosis, how do you consider your symptoms now?	14	10	12	25	22	9	8
Considering your symptoms just after treatment, how do you consider your symptoms now?	21	14	22	24	12	5	2
Considering your quality of life at diagnosis, how do you consider your quality of life now?	13	7	12	34	20	9	5
Considering your quality of life after treatment, how do you consider your quality of life now?	16	13	16	32	10	6	5

WATCH AND WAIT/OBSERVATION

The first section of the report on Watch and Wait is based on the 306 individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey and the Follow-Up survey. The following tables contain a description of the respondents and their watch and wait experiences.

Information About Watch and Wait Patients and Their AN Tumor

Description	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Length of time in watch and wait mode		
6 months or less	41	13
6 months to 1 year	40	13
1 year to 2 years	42	14
2 years to 3 years	34	11
3 years to 4 years	27	9
4 years to 5 years	26	8
5 years to 10 years	63	21
10 years to 20 years	28	9
More than 20 years	5	2
Reasons to watch and wait		
Recommended by a physician	236	77
Personal choice, not recommended by a physician	54	18
I know someone who had this management option	7	2
General health reasons counter-indicate treatment at this time	18	6
Advanced age is considered an issue	18	6
Size of tumor is less than 1.5 cm	185	60
Dissatisfaction with treatment options	40	13
Seeking or using alternative treatments	11	4
Concerned about quality of life after treatment	144	47
Minimal current symptoms	137	45
Unsure about where to get treatment	18	6
Job or employment concerns	17	6
Concerns about my financial situation	14	5
Absence of social support system	7	2
Insurance situation at time of the decision to watch and wait	9	3

Description	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tumor side		
Right	153	50
Left	142	46
Bilateral (Both sides)	2	1
No response	9	3
Date of last MRI		
2004 - 2007	2	1
2008 - 2009	7	2
2010	12	4
2011	61	20
2012	220	72
No response	4	1
Diagnostic tests used to diagnose tumor (multiple responses possible)		
CT scan (Computerized Tomography)	35	11
MRI scan (Magnetic Resonance Image)	291	95
Brainstem Auditory Evoked Response (BAER, BSER or ABR)	25	8
Hearing Test (Audiogram)	213	70
Balance Test (Electronystagmogram – ENG)	63	21

	At diagnosis		At last MRI	
Size of tumor	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
0.0 - 0.4 cm	13	4	20	7
0.5 - 1.0 cm	58	19	28	9
1.1 - 1.5 cm	91	30	26	8
1.6 - 2.0 cm	74	24	22	7
2.1 - 2.5 cm	29	9	9	3
2.6 - 3.0 cm	12	4	3	1
3.1 - 3.5 cm	3	1	0	0
3.6 - 4.0 cm	1	< 1	0	0
Larger than 4 cm	0	0	1	< 1
Don't know	22	7	19	6
No response	3	1	165	54
Total	306	100	306	100

Symptoms Reported

This section of symptoms reports only the responses of those individuals who responded to the Initial Contact survey.

The survey asked respondents to indicate symptoms they experienced related to their acoustic neuroma. Only those respondents who reported experiencing a specific symptom were queried about their experience with that symptom. Percentages reported below are from the 253 respondents of the Initial Contact survey who indicated they are watching and waiting. These individuals were only asked about the frequency and severity of their symptoms at initial diagnosis.

Slight differences in frequency reported in this table and in the two tables following it can be attributed to respondents who indicated they had a symptom, but not reporting frequency or severity.

Individuals who responded to the Follow-Up survey are not included in this summary of symptoms.

Symptoms	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	201	79
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	154	61
Fullness in ear	124	49
Single-sided hearing loss	120	47
Fatigue	45	18
Headaches	44	17
Facial numbness	24	9
Facial twitching	13	5
Memory issues	34	13
Difficulty concentrating	30	12
Depression	29	11
Eye problems	15	6
Change in smell or taste	12	5
Difficulty swallowing	10	4
I had no symptoms	33	13

The frequency and severity of the most common symptoms (tinnitus, vertigo/balance disturbance, and headaches) experienced by watch and wait patients at diagnosis are reported below.

	Number of	Percentage of
Symptom	responses	responses
Tinnitus-Frequency		
Constantly	134	72
Daily (At least once a day)	29	16
Weekly (At least once a week)	12	6
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	2
Less frequent than once a month	8	4
Total	187	100
Tinnitus–Severity		
5 (Most severe/disabling)	11	6
4	23	12
3	63	33
2	52	27
1 (Least severe/mild)	41	22
Total	190	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Frequency		
Constantly	20	14
Daily (At least once a day)	37	27
Weekly (At least once a week)	25	18
Monthly (At least once a month)	15	11
Less frequent than once a month	41	30
Total	138	100
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity	130	100
5 (Most severe/disabling)	14	10
4	22	15
3	29	20
2	37	26
1 (Least severe/mild)	40	28
Total	142	100
		100
Headaches – Frequency	1	2
Constantly	1	3
Daily (At least once a day)	12	31
Weekly (At least once a week)	15	38
Monthly (At least once a month)	9	23
Less frequent than once a month	2	5
Total	39	100
Headaches–Severity	4	10
5 (Most severe/disabling)	4	10
4	7	18
3	12	30
2	13	33
1 (Least severe/mild)	4	10
Total	40	100

The frequency of other symptoms related to the tumor at diagnosis for watch and wait respondents are reported below.

Symptom responses Eye problems	Percentage of responses 21 21 21 21
Eye problems	21 21
	21
	21
Constantly 3	
Daily (At least once a day) 3	21
Weekly (At least once a week) 3	21
Monthly (At least once a month) 0	0
Less frequent than once a month 5	36
Total Respondents 14	100
Changes in sense of taste or smell	
Constantly 5	42
Daily (At least once a day) 2	17
Weekly (At least once a week) 4	33
Monthly (At least once a month)	8
Less frequent than once a month 0	0
Total Respondents 12	100
•	
Facial twitching	0
Constantly 0	0
Daily (At least once a day) 1 Weekly (At least once a week)	9
Weekly (At least once a week) Monthly (At least once a month) 4 3	36 27
• ` '	27
1	100
Total Respondents 11	100
Facial numbness	
Constantly 5	23
Daily (At least once a day) 5	23
Weekly (At least once a week) 8	36
Monthly (At least once a month)	5
Less frequent than once a month 3	14
Total Respondents 22	100
Fullness in tumor-side ear	
Constantly 53	47
Daily (At least once a day) 18	16
Weekly (At least once a week) 22	20
Monthly (At least once a month) 10	9
Less frequent than once a month 9	8
Total Respondents 112	100
Difficulty swallowing	
Constantly 2	20
Daily (At least once a day) 4	40
Weekly (At least once a week) 1	10
Monthly (At least once a month)	30
Less frequent than once a month 0	0
Total Respondents 10	100

Symptom	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Difficulty concentrating		
Constantly	5	17
Daily (At least once a day)	15	50
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	20
Monthly (At least once a month)	2	7
Less frequent than once a month	2	7
Total Respondents	30	100
Fatigue		
Constantly	7	17
Daily (At least once a day)	21	51
Weekly (At least once a week)	10	24
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	7
Less frequent than once a month	0	0
Total Respondents	41	100
Depression		
Constantly	5	19
Daily (At least once a day)	9	35
Weekly (At least once a week)	5	19
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	12
Less frequent than once a month	4	15
Total Respondents	26	100
Memory difficulties		
Constantly	5	15
Daily (At least once a day)	11	33
Weekly (At least once a week)	11	33
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	9
Less frequent than once a month	3	9
Total Respondents	33	100

Single-Sided Hearing Loss

The following table contains the self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class of 242 respondents who are watching and waiting and who experienced single-sided hearing loss or deafness related to their tumor.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	58	24	31	13
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	67	28	52	21
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	21	9	29	12
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	20	8	25	10
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	12	5	35	14
Don't Know	64	26	70	29
Total	242	100	242	100

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
CROS hearing aid	8	3
BiCROS hearing aid	7	3
In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid	10	4
In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid	6	3
Bone Conduction Hearing Aid (like Baha and TransEar)	3	1
FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed on a table)	2	1
Device to amplify TV	5	2
Device to amplify telephone	4	2
Direct audio to input microphone	1	< 1

^{*}based on 242 responses

Facial Weakness

Of the 306 respondents who indicated they are watch and wait AN patients, 31 reported experiencing some facial weakness or paralysis related to their tumor. The following table reports the self-reported House-Brackmann Grade for these individuals at their diagnosis and at the time of the survey.

	At diagnosis		At time of survey	
Self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Grade I. Normal	22	71	9	30
Grade II. Mild	6	19	14	47
Grade III. Moderate	1	3	1	3
Grade IV. Moderate severe	1	3	4	13
Grade V. Severe	0	0	1	3
Grade VI. Complete paralysis	1	3	1	3
Total	31	100	30	100

	Definition of House-Brackmann Grades
Grade I	Normal facial function in all areas.
Grade II	Mild movement weakness, normal symmetry at rest. Slight weakness noticeable on close inspection; may have very slight synkinesis (inappropriate movement with voluntary movement of another muscle), moderate to good forehead motion, complete eye closure with minimum effort, only slight mouth disturbance.
Grade III	Moderate dysfunction with noticeable asymmetry, good eye closure. Obvious but not disfiguring difference between two sides; noticeable but not severe synkinesis. Normal balance and tone at rest, slight to moderate movement of forehead, complete eye closure with effort, mouth movement slightly weak with maximum effort.
Grade IV	Moderately severe dysfunction with gross asymmetry and incomplete eye closure. Obvious facial weakness and/or disfiguring asymmetry with gross movement. Normal symmetry and tone at rest. No forehead movement on affected side, incomplete eye closure, mouth asymmetric with maximum effort.
Grade V	Severe dysfunction with minimal facial movement. Only barely perceptible motion with attempted movement. Face unbalanced at rest. No forehead motion, incomplete eye closure. Slight mouth movement possible.
Grade VI	Complete paralysis. No movement.

Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses*
Surgery or treatment to correct facial weakness		
12-7 Anastomosis (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve, also called Hypoglossal-Facial Anastomosis)	2	6
Cross face nerve graft	0	0
Facial suspension or sling	0	0
Face lift (tumor side)	0	0
Face lift (both sides)	0	0
Masseter muscle transposition	0	0
Electrical stimulation of the face	0	0
Other facial surgery	0	0
Surgery to improve eyelid position and/or function		
Tarsorrhaphy (procedure in which lids are sewn together)	1	3
Gold weight in eyelid	1	3
Eyelid spring	0	0
Lower eyelid repositioning	1	3
Brow elevation	0	0
Other eyelid surgery	0	0

^{*}based on 31 responses

Quality of Life

	Percentage of respondents*						
Question	Significantly better	Moderately better	Somewhat better	No significant change	Somewhat worse	Moderately worse	Significantly worse
Considering your symptoms at diagnosis, how do you consider your symptoms now?	9	5	5	53	21	5	2
Considering your quality of life at diagnosis, how do you consider your quality of life now?	11	6	6	41	26	8	3

^{*}based on 300 responses

FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

A goal of the ANA is to develop a database that contains information about patients as they live with AN. Many patients have provided information about their initial experiences with AN since 1983. However, none of these individuals had been contacted to update their information in a systematic manner. The Follow-Up survey was designed to do this. Almost 1,300 (n = 1,272) patients who responded to the 2007–2008 survey were invited to participate in a follow-up survey in November 2012. Responses were received from 399 individuals; however, only 371 were completed.

This section of the 2012 report updates 2007–2008 responses with those made in the most recent survey. In order to provide this data, the 2012 responses had to be matched with the 2007–2008 responses. The unique identifier used was the individuals' email address. Of the 371 completed responses, only 323 could be matched specifically to 2007–2008 responses.

In addition, fewer than 300 (n = 298) provided complete data from both surveys. Therefore, this section of the report contains the responses of 298 individuals who provided information about their AN experiences in both 2007-2008 and 2012.

The 298 individuals reported their status in 2012. Fourteen percent of the respondents have received no treatment for their tumor and are in the watch and wait mode. Three-fourths of the respondents reported treatments in the 2007–2008 survey but have had no further treatments. Eleven percent of the group received treatments since 2007–2008.

Treatment modality	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
No further treatment since 2007	223	75
No treatment (watch & wait)	43	14
Received treatment(s) since 2007–2008	32	11

Almost all of the 32 individuals who received treatment since 2007–2008 provided information about their treatments. The majority of the patients underwent microsurgical resection of their tumor. Information about these treatments is in the database, but is not presented here.

Treatment modality	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy(FSR) treatment performed in multiple sessions	4	15
Microsurgical resection (surgery/craniotomy)	21	78
Single dose stereotactic radiosurgery (single session radiation treatment)	2	7

The following tables provide information about the patients who make up this follow-up group.

Descriptor	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Gender		
Male	112	38
Female	186	62
Ethnicity		
Caucasian	287	96
African/American-American/W. Indian (Black)	1	< 1
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	1
Hispanic/Latino	5	1
Native American	0	0
Other	1	< 1
Age when tumor was diagnosed		
Less than 12 years old	0	0
12-20 years old	2	1
21 - 30 years old	10	3
31-40 years old	43	14
41 - 50 years old	91	31
51 - 60 years old	103	35
61-70 years old	44	15
71 - 80 years old	4	1
81 or older	1	< 1

Tumor side	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Right	133	45
Left	161	54
Bilateral (both sides)	3	1
No response	1	< 1
Total	298	100

Changes Since 2007–2008

The tables in this section provide information about AN patients' tumor, level of hearing and facial weakness, and the therapies and treatments they received as reported in 2007–2008 and in 2012.

	2007–2008		At most recent MRI	
Tumor size	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
0.0 - 0.4 cm*	0	0	90	30
0.5 - 1.0 cm	43	14	32	11
1.1 - 1.5 cm	54	18	29	10
1.6 - 2.0 cm	41	14	24	8
2.1 - 2.5 cm	40	13	20	7
2.6 - 3.0 cm	25	8	4	1
3.1 - 3.5 cm	19	6	3	1
3.6 - 4.0 cm	9	3	1	0
Larger than 4.0 cm	18	6	2	1
Don't know	20	7	92	31
No response	29	10	1	0
Total	298	100	298	100

^{*} This tumor size was not an option before 2012

	2007–2008		2012	
Self-reported Gardner-Robertson Class*	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Class 1 Good, Excellent Hearing = PTA 0-30 dB; SD 70-100%	6	2	5	2
Class 2 Serviceable Hearing = PTA 31-50 dB; SD 50-69%	27	9	18	6
Class 3 Non-Serviceable Hearing = PTA 51-90 dB; SD 5-49%	24	8	33	11
Class 4 Poor Hearing = PTA 91-100 dB; SD 1-4%	20	7	31	10
Class 5 No Hearing = PTA 0; SD 0%	126	42	155	52
Don't Know	55	18	33	11
No response	40	13	23	8

^{*} PTA = Pure Tone Average; dB = Decibels; SD = Speech Discrimination Score

	2007–2008		2012	
Strategies to improve hearing	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
CROS hearing aid	15	5	23	8
BiCROS hearing aid	2	1	13	4
Behind-the-ear (BTE) hearing aid	0	0	30	10
In-the-ear (ITE) hearing aid	11	4	12	4
In-the-canal (ITC) hearing aid	5	2	4	1
Completely-in-the-canal (CIC) hearing aid	0	0	1	0
Bone conduction hearing devices (such as Cochlear)	14	5	30	10
Cochlear implants	0	0	1	0
FM system or other amplifier (carried in pocket or placed on a table)	3	1	1	0
Device to amplify TV	9	3	8	3
Device to amplify telephone	6	2	5	2
Direct audio input microphone	0	0	0	0

	2007–2008		2012	
Self-reported House-Brackmann Grade	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Grade I. Normal	3	5	23	14
Grade II. Mild	25	40	49	38
Grade III. Moderate	18	29	27	21
Grade IV. Moderate severe	10	16	17	13
Grade V. Severe	4	6	4	3
Grade VI. Complete paralysis	3	5	8	6
Total	63	100	128	100

	2007–2008		2012	
Surgeries and treatments	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Rehabilitation surgery or therapy for facial weakness				
12-7 Transfer (transfer of the tongue nerve to the facial nerve)	7	2	8	3
Cross face nerve graft	0	0	3	1
Electrical stimulation of the face	4	1	8	3
Face lift - Both sides	1	0	2	1
Face lift - on the tumor side	3	1	5	2
Facial suspension or sling	3	1	3	1
Surgery to improve eyelid position				
Tarsorrhaphy	2	1	11	4
Gold weight in eyelid	17	6	21	7
Eyelid spring	5	2	4	1
Lower eyelid repositioning	2	1	6	2
Brow elevation	2	1	8	3
Canthoplasty	0	0	3	1
Tissue grafts and stents	0	0	0	0

Symptoms since 2007–2008

This section presents the percentage of AN patients experiencing symptoms in 2007–2008 and any change in 2012.

Slight differences in frequency reported in this table and in the two tables following it can be attributed to respondents who indicated they had a symptom, but not reporting frequency or severity AND reporting a symptom six months after treatment that they did not report at diagnosis.

	2007-2008*		2012*	
Symptoms reported by all follow-up respondents	Number of responses	Percentage of responses	Number of responses	Percentage of responses
Change in smell or taste	56	19	42	14
Depression	39	13	29	10
Difficulty concentrating	48	16	38	13
Difficulty swallowing	24	8	24	8
Eye problems	79	27	64	21
Facial numbness	72	24	68	23
Facial twitching	41	14	47	16
Facial weakness or paralysis	68	23	0	0
Fatigue	84	28	52	17
Fullness in ear	118	40	131	44
Headaches	85	29	75	25
Memory issues	49	16	52	17
Single-sided hearing loss	237	66	237	80
Tinnitus (noise or ringing in the ear)	258	87	210	70
Vertigo (dizziness/balance disturbance)	212	71	167	56
I had no symptoms	169	57	45	15

^{*}based on 298 responses

The frequency and severity of the most common symptoms (tinnitus, vertigo/balance disturbance, and headaches) experienced by all follow-up AN patients (n = 298) in 2007–2008 and 2012 are reported below.

	2007–2008		20	2012	
	Number Percentage			Percentage	
S	of	of	of	of	
Symptom	responses	responses	responses	responses	
Tinnitus–Frequency	170	0.1	0.5	(2)	
Constantly Daily (At least once a day)	170 13	81 6	85 26	62 19	
Weekly (At least once a week)	10	5	10	7	
Monthly (At least once a month)	9	4	3	2	
Less frequent than once a month	7	3	13	9	
Total	209	100	137	100	
Tinnitus-Severity					
5 (Most severe/disabling)	5	2			
4	25	12			
3	52	25			
2	51	24			
1 (Least severe/mild) Total	79 212	37 100			
	212	100			
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Frequency	50	20	1.1	10	
Constantly Daily (At least once a day)	50 30	30 18	11 26	10 23	
Weekly (At least once a week)	11	7	17	23 15	
Monthly (At least once a month)	35	21	6	5	
Less frequent than once a month	42	25	54	47	
Total	168	100	114	100	
Vertigo/balance disturbance–Severity					
5 (Most severe/disabling)	4	4			
4	8	9			
3	41	44			
2 1 (Least severe/mild)	40 0	43 0			
Total	93	100			
	70	100			
Headaches –Frequency Constantly	12	14	3	6	
Daily (At least once a day)	12	14	6	11	
Weekly (At least once a week)	13	15	12	23	
Monthly (At least once a month)	22	26	9	17	
Less frequent than once a month	26	31	23	43	
Total	85	100	53	100	
Headaches–Severity					
5 (Most severe/disabling)	5	6			
4	3	4			
3	25	29			
2	29	34			
1 (Least severe/mild)	23	27 100			
<u>Total</u>	85	100			

The frequency of other symptoms related to the tumor experienced by all follow-up AN patients (n = 298) in 2007–2008 and 2012 are reported below.

	2007	2007–2008		012
		Percentage		Percentage
Symptom	of responses	of responses	of responses	of responses
Eye problems		10	1.5	26
Constantly	9	12	15	36
Daily (At least once a day)	7 2	9	12	29
Weekly (At least once a week) Monthly (At least once a month)	9	3 12	1 5	2 12
Less frequent than once a month	50	65	9	21
Total Respondents	77	100	42	100
Changes in sense of taste or smell				
Constantly	31	57	10	34
Daily (At least once a day)	4	7	7	8
Weekly (At least once a week)	0	0	4	5
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	6	3	4
Less frequent than once a month	16	30	38	45
Total Respondents	54	100	85	100
Facial twitching				
Constantly	10	24	0	0
Daily (At least once a day)	10	24	5	16
Weekly (At least once a week)	7	17	7	22
Monthly (At least once a month)	8	20	5	16
Less frequent than once a month Total Respondents	6 41	15 100	16 32	47 100
Facial numbness Constantly	35	50	0	0
Daily (At least once a day)	6	9	5	16
Weekly (At least once a week)	6	9	7	22
Monthly (At least once a month)	8	11	5	16
Less frequent than once a month	15	21	15	47
Total Respondents	70	100	32	100
Fullness in tumor-side ear				
Constantly	50	44	33	39
Daily (At least once a day)	18	16	7	8
Weekly (At least once a week)	12	11	4	5
Monthly (At least once a month)	11	10	3	4
Less frequent than once a month	23	20	38	45
Total Respondents	114	100	85	100
Difficulty swallowing				
Constantly	11	48	2	13
Daily (At least once a day)	2	9	4	27
Weekly (At least once a week)	2	9	4	27
Monthly (At least once a month)	3	13	2	13
Less frequent than once a month	5	22	3	20
Total Respondents	23	100	15	100

	••••			2012	
	2007–2008		2012		
	Number of	Percentage of	Number of	Percentage of	
Symptom	responses	responses	responses	responses	
7.100					
Difficulty concentrating	2.5	A	_	2.5	
Constantly	25	54	7	26	
Daily (At least once a day)	13	28	7	26	
Weekly (At least once a week)	2	4	7	26	
Monthly (At least once a month)	2	4	0	0	
Less frequent than once a month	4	9	6	22	
Total Respondents	46	100	27	100	
Fatigue					
Constantly	43	52	5	14	
Daily (At least once a day)	25	30	8	22	
Weekly (At least once a week)	7	8	14	38	
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	5	4	11	
Less frequent than once a month	4	5	6	15	
Total Respondents	83	100	37	100	
•					
Depression					
Constantly	12	32	3	19	
Daily (At least once a day)	9	24	0	0	
Weekly (At least once a week)	2	5	3	19	
Monthly (At least once a month)	7	19	2	13	
Less frequent than once a month	7	19	8	50	
Total Respondents	37	100	16	100	
Memory difficulties					
Constantly	28	60	10	26	
Daily (At least once a day)	12	26	14	37	
Weekly (At least once a week)	2	4	9	24	
Monthly (At least once a month)	4	9	3	8	
Less frequent than once a month	1	2	2	5	
Total Respondents	47	100	38	100	
Total Respondents	4/	100	30	100	